UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JONATHAN SANTIAGO ROSARIO, : CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff,

.

v. : 5:15-cv-866

:

TRANS UNION, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

NOTICE OF SCHEDULING OF INITIAL FED.R.CIV.P. 16 PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

An initial Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 pretrial conference has been scheduled before Judge

Joseph F. Leeson, Jr. in the above-captioned case. The conference will be held **in person** at the Edward

N. Cahn Courthouse and Federal Building, Courtroom C, 504 West Hamilton Street, Allentown,

Pennsylvania 18101 on Tuesday, June 2, 2015, at 11:00 a.m.

A copy of the Policies and Procedures for Judge Leeson is available on the court's website at

https://www.paed.uscourts.gov. Prior to the initial pretrial conference, the parties are directed to confer

and prepare a joint report (using the attached format "Joint Report by Counsel to the Court of Rule 26(f)

Meeting") pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f). This joint report is to be faxed to chambers

at 610-821-1481 no later than three days prior to the conference. Requests to reschedule this conference

are strongly discouraged, and the conference will only be rescheduled when compelling circumstances so

require.

BY THE COURT:

By:/s/ Diane J. Abeles_

Diane J. Abeles, Civil Deputy Clerk The Honorable Joseph F. Leeson, Jr. Diane J Abeles@paed.uscourts.gov

610-391-7020

Dated: May 1, 2015

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

	······································	:	CIVIL ACTION
	Plaintiff,	: :	
	v.		NO
	,	: :	
	Defendant.	: :	
(COURT OF RULE 26(f) MEETING e of Initial Rule 16 Pretrial Conference)
In co	ompliance with Federal	Rule of Civil Proceed	lure 26(f), counsel for the parties jointly report as
follows:			
1.	Jurisdiction: State Basis for Jurisdiction of the Court:		
2.	Trial: Jury Trial Non-Jury Trial Arbitration	(Check One)	
3.	<u>Plaintiff Counsel</u> : Name(s) of Plaintiff counsel attending Rule 16 Conference:		
4.	<u>Defense Counsel</u> : Name(s) of Defense counsel attending Rule 16 Conference:		
5. Con	Date of Rule 26 Conference:	nference: State the d	ate the parties held required Rule 26

6.		liance with Rule 26(a) Self-Executing Disclosure Requirement: Have all parties ied with Rule 26(a) duty of self-executing disclosure? Yes No (Check One) If no, state date compliance will occur:			
7.	<u>Discovery</u> :				
	(a)	The parties anticipate that discovery will be completed within days (the Court allows up to 90 days from the date of the initial Rule 16 pretrial conference to complete discovery).			
	(b)	If you contend the discovery period should exceed 90 days, please state reason:			
	(c)	Does either side anticipate the use of experts? (a) Yes No (Check One) (b) If Yes, what is the proposed deadline for completion of all expert discovery?			
	(d)	Have the parties discussed issues relating to claims of privilege or of protection of trial-preparation material, as required by Rule 26(f)(3)(D)?			
	(e)	Identify any other discovery issues which should be addressed at the Rule 16 Conference, including limitations on discovery, protective Orders needed, or other elements which should be included in a particularized discovery plan.			
	(f)	Electronic Discovery: It is expected that the parties will reach an agreement on how to conduct electronic discovery. In the event the parties cannot reach such an agreement before the Rule 16 scheduling conference, the Court will enter an order incorporating default standards. The default order can be viewed at www.paed.uscourts.gov .			
8.	Case I	Dispositive Motions: Does either side expect to file a case-dispositive n?(Yes/No)			
	(a)	If yes, under what Rule:			
	(b)	If yes, specify the issue(s):			
	(c)	Proposed deadline for filing dispositive motions:			

9. <u>Summary of Claims, Defenses and Relevant Issues</u>:

You should assume that the Court has read the complaint and is familiar with the claims. However, the facts supporting those claims and defenses are not fully known. Therefore, counsel are to set forth concisely the factual background that the parties contend

	ement: Do the portion want to explore with the Court possible settlement at the Pule.		
(a)	Do the parties want to explore with the Court possible settlement at the Rule 16 Conference?		
	Plaintiff: Yes/No Defendant: Yes/No		
	Traintiff. 1es/100 Defendant. 1es/100		
(b)	Will all counsel have full authority to settle at Rule 16 Conference?		
	Yes No (Check One)		
(c)	If no, state name of client(s) with such authority who will attend Conference		
(d)	The parties are to familiarize themselves with Local Rule 53.3 before		
` '	responding. Recite the parties' discussion about early resolution through ADR		
	explain what steps were taken by counsel to advise the client of alternative dis		
	resolution options:		
(e)	Explain any decision not to seek early resolution and what mediation options		
(-)	the parties may consider and when mediation would be appropriate:		
(f)	Has the plaintiff submitted a demand for settlement to defendant(s):		
` '	Yes No		
(g)	What is the minimum amount of time necessary to complete discovery prior to		
(5)	an ADR session, should one be ordered or agreed to?		
(h)	Is a settlement conference at a future date likely to be helpful?		
	so, when:		
	Early (Yes/No) After Discovery (Yes/No)		
Estin	nated Trial Ready Date: Approximate date case should be trial-ready:		
Time	for Plaintiff's case: Time for Defendant's Case:		

14.	Other Matters:

	Indicate discussion and any agreement on any matters not addressed above, or such matters upon which the involvement of the Court is requested.		
Counsel For		Counsel For	

Date: _____